

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/808,939 03/16/2001		Akio Okazaki	P 278090 T4A0-00S1176-1	5287	
909 7:	590 02/27/2004		EXAMINER		
	WINTHROP, LLP		STREGE, JOHN B		
P.O. BOX 10500 MCLEAN, VA 22102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2625	1	
•			DATE MAILED: 02/27/2004	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>.</u>									
Office Action Summers		Application No.	Applicant(s)						
		09/808,939	OKAZAKI ET AL.						
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
		John B Strege	2625						
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by static reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16	March 2001.							
,	·	is action is non-final.							
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
 4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-11,13,16-26 and 28 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 12,14,15,27,29 and 30 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 									
Applicat	ion Papers								
 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 16 March 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 									
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date 2.	Paper No(s 5) D Notice of I	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 						

Art Unit: 2625

. . .

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

2. Claims 1, 9-10, 16, and 24-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tal USPN 4,975,969.

Claim 1 discloses, "an image processing apparatus characterized by comprising: image processing means for sensing object images from different directions, extracting feature points from the sensed object images, and computing a feature pattern on the basis of the extracted feature points."

Tal discloses a method and apparatus for uniquely identifying an individual by obtaining and characterizing unique physical characteristics of the individual (at least col. 1 lines 9-15). As can be seen in figure 3 Tal discloses, multiple cameras (60, 64a, and 64b) for obtaining images of an individual from different directions and a information processing means 75 for comparing the information obtained from the camera (at least col. 6 lines 51-55). Various facial feature points such as the eye retinae (15a and 15b), the nostril openings 20, and the center of the mouth 25 are extracted (col. 4 lines 53-69). Using the different features extracted, various parameters or patterns are formed which are used to identify the individual (col. 5 lines 1-2, lines 60-62).

Claim 9 discloses, "An apparatus according to claim 1, comprising: image input means for sensing an object image from different positions, and inputting a plurality of object images at different image sensing positions; feature extraction means for

Art Unit: 2625

extracting feature patterns that represent features of an object from the plurality of object images input by said image input means; verification means for verifying the plurality of feature patterns extracted by said feature extraction means with a reference feature pattern which is registered in advance; and discrimination means for, when at least one of the plurality of feature patterns extracted by said feature extraction means matches the reference feature pattern which is registered in advance as a result of verification of said verification means, determining that an object associated with that object image is a person himself or herself.

As discussed above Tal discloses multiple cameras, and feature extraction means for extracting facial patterns. Tal further discloses a means for determining if the person seeking access is the authorized person stored in memory (verification and registration means) (col. 3 lines 7-16). Finally Tal discloses a discrimination means since the system forces a user to be the authorized person in order to be allowed access to the system (at least col. 4 lines 30-35).

Claim 10 discloses, "An apparatus according to claim 9, wherein said image input means has a plurality of image sensing means which are set in advance at a plurality of predetermined positions, and sense an object image from a plurality of different positions, and inputs a plurality of object images at different image sensing positions using said plurality of image sensing means."

As discussed above Tal discloses multiple cameras which are at set at predetermined positions to sense an object from different positions, and the images fom the cameras are inputted into an information processing means (75 figure 3).

Art Unit: 2625

Claim 16 recites identical information as claim 1 except claim 16 is a method claim. Thus, an argument similar to that presented above for claim 1 is equally applicable to claim 16.

Claims 24-25 recite identical information as claims 9-10 except claims 24-25 are method claims. Thus, an argument similar to that presented above for claims 9-10 is equally applicable to claims 24-25.

3. Claims 1,13,16, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Flom et al. USPN 4,641,349 (hereinafter "Flom").

Claim 13 discloses, "An apparatus according to claim 1, wherein an object image is input at different image sensing positions by moving at least one image sensing means to a plurality of predetermined positions and sensing an object image at respective positions."

Flom discloses an image processing means for sensing an iris from different directions. Flom explicitly discloses, "more than one camera could be provided or a single camera could be provided which could be moved between a plurality of positions in order to obtain three-dimensional information" (col. 11 lines 13-20). Descriptors are extracted from the image in order to find a pattern specific to each individual that is compared against a reference image (verification means) for a person authorized for access to the system (col. 7 lines 44-64).

Claims 16 and 28 recite identical information as claims 1 and 13 except claims
16 and 28 are method claims. Thus, arguments similar to that presented above for claim
1 and 13 are equally applicable to claims 16 and 28.

Art Unit: 2625

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2-3, 5, 7, 11, 17-18, 20, 22, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tal USPN 4,975,969 in view of Kado et al. USPN 5,995,639 (hereinafter "Kado").

Claim 3 discloses, "An apparatus according to claim 1, comprising: a plurality of image sensing means for sensing object images from different directions; normalization means for extracting feature points from the object images sensed by said plurality of image sensing means, setting a feature region on the basis of the extracted feature points, segmenting the set feature region into a plurality of regions, computing an average value of brightness levels in each segmented region, and computing a feature pattern on the basis of the computed average value; registration means for registering the feature pattern computed by said normalization means as a feature pattern associated with a predetermined object; and verification means for specifying an object associated with the object image by comparing the feature pattern computed by said normalization means with the feature pattern registered in said registration means."

Art Unit: 2625

As discussed above Tal discloses all of the limitations of claim 1. Tal further discloses a normalization means for extracting feature points from the object (col. 8 lines 5-11) (fig. 4a-4b 130, 218). Tal uses the definable points such as the retina and centers of gravity of the nostrils to set a feature region and measure the unique distances between the features (col. 7 lines 30-47). Tal does not explicitly disclose segmenting the feature region into a plurality of regions, computing an average value of brightness levels in each region, or computing a feature pattern on the basis of the average computed value. Tal discloses a registration means for storing the feature pattern of a person to be identified (at least col. 2 63-68 continued to col. 3 lines 1-10), and finally a verification means that compares the information of a person trying to access a system with the stored information for a person with access to the system (col. 3 lines 16).

Kado discloses an apparatus for identifying persons by their face images (col. 1 line 5) that "extracts feature points of face components such as the eyes, nose, mouth, and uses the extracted feature points to divide a face image into small patches" (col. 1 lines 60-63). Then the average brightness of each patch is found (which forms a feature pattern) and the patches with their specific feature amounts are used to identify the face of a person. Kado further teaches that with identifying systems where the difference between feature points of an individual is used (such as the invention of Tal) problems can arise such as the distance between the cameras and the individual must be fixed, and the person must turn their face a certain way which imposes a burden on the person.

Art Unit: 2625

Tal and Kado are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor of identifying individuals using facial image processing.

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Tal and Kado in order to obtain an invention that uses the average brightness of sections of a facial image in order to identify a person. The motivation for the combination would be to eliminate some of the problems that occur with the invention as disclosed by Tal. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Tal and Kado in order to obtain the invention as disclosed in claim 3.

The limitations of claim 2 are all included in claim 3, therefore the arguments used for the rejection of claim 3 apply equally to claim 2.

Claim 5 discloses, "An apparatus according to claim 3, wherein said plurality of image sensing means line up horizontally, and said normalization means computes the feature pattern using one of a feature point group including central points of right and left pupils and central points of right and left nasal cavities of the object image, a feature point group including central points of right and left pupils and a central point of a left nasal cavity of the object image, and a feature point group including central points of right and left pupils and a central points of right and left pupils and a central point of a right nasal cavity of the object image."

As seen in figure 3 of the disclosure by Tal the cameras 64a, 64b, and 60 line up horizontally. Tal further discloses that the retina centers of the eyes are among the feature points used (col. 3 line 22) and the centers of the nostril cavities (col. 4 line 57).

Art Unit: 2625

Claim 7 discloses, "An apparatus according to claim 3, wherein said normalization means extracts feature vectors of different dimensions from respective object images sensed by said plurality of image sensing means, and arranges the extracted feature vectors of different dimensions in turn to integrate them as a multi-dimensional feature pattern."

As discussed above Kado discloses extracting feature points from an image. Kado further states that a 3-dimensional model is possible where "a normal vector of each patch is extracted as well as its brightness" to express the concavo-convexity of the face more clearly (col. 2 lines 30-41).

Claim 11 discloses, "An apparatus according to claim 9, wherein said feature extraction means comprises: feature point detection means for detecting feature points of an object from the input object image; feature region setting means for setting a feature region on the basis of the feature points detected by said feature point detection means; region segmentation means for segmenting the feature region set by said feature region setting means into a plurality of regions; and feature pattern extraction means for computing brightness average values in the regions segmented by said region segmentation means, and extracting a feature pattern which represents a feature of the object on the basis of the brightness average values."

As discussed above Tal discloses the limitations of claim 9. The further limitations of claim 11 are similar to those of claim 3 which have already been discussed above. Therefore similar arguments can be used to combine Tal and Kado to meet all of the limitations of claim 11.

Art Unit: 2625

Claims 17-18 are similar to claims 2-3, thus the same arguments used for claims 2-3 are equally applicable to claims 17-18.

Claim 20 is similar to claim 5 thus the same argument used for claim 5 is equally applicable to claims 20.

Claim 22 is similar to claim 7 thus the same argument used for claim 7 is equally applicable to claims 22.

Claim 26 is similar to claim 11 thus the same argument used for claim 11 is equally applicable to claims 26.

6. Claims 4, 6, 19, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tal USPN 4,975,969 in view of Kado et al. USPN 5,995,639 (hereinafter "Kado") and further in view of Mann et al USPN 6,119,096 (hereinafter Mann).

Claim 4 discloses, "an apparatus according to claim 3, wherein said plurality of image sensing means line up vertically, and said normalization means computes the feature pattern using one of a feature point group including central points of right and left pupils and central points of right and left nasal cavities of the object image, and a feature point group including central points of right and left pupils of the object image."

The combination of Tal and Kado does not explicitly disclose that the plurality of image sensing means line up vertically. Tal discloses that the retina centers of the eyes (col. 3 line 22) and the centers of the nostril cavities (col. 4 line 57) are among the feature points used.

Art Unit: 2625

As can be seen in figure 8a Mann discloses multiple cameras that are lined up vertically. Images are taken at each camera and the best picture is selected for processing which depends on the height of the user (col. 18 lines 50-66).

Tal, Kado, and Mann are all analogous art because they are all from the same field of endeavor identifying a person using image processing.

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Tal, Kado, and Mann in order to use the combination of Tal and Kado as discussed above, and further use cameras lined up vertically. The motivation for doing so would be to account for users of different heights and all the system to obtain the best picture possible. Therefore it would have been obvious at the time of the invention to combine Tal, Kado, and Mann in order to obtain the invention as specified in claim 4.

Claim 6 discloses, "an apparatus according to claim 3, wherein said plurality of image sensing means line up vertically and horizontally, and said normalization means computes the feature pattern using one of a feature point group including central points of right and left pupils and central points of right and left nasal cavities of the object image, a feature point group including central points of right and left pupils and a central point of a left nasal cavity of the object image, a feature point group including central points of right and left pupils and a central point of a right nasal cavity of the object image, and a feature point group including central points of right and left pupils of the object image, and a feature point group including central points of right and left pupils of the object image."

Art Unit: 2625

Claim 6 discloses similar limitations to those discussed in claims 4 and 5.

Therefore the arguments used in the rejections of claims 4 and 5 apply equally to the rejection of claim 6. Furthermore, Mann discloses it is also possible to arrange the cameras to provide an array that extends both horizontally and vertically for ease of capturing a desired image without requiring the user to be in a precise position (col. 19 lines 24-29).

Claim 19 is similar to claim 4 thus the same argument used for claim 4 is equally applicable to claim 19.

Claim 21 is similar to claim 6 thus the same arguments used for claim 6 is equally applicable to claim 21.

7. Claim 8, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tal USPN 4,975,969 in view of Kado et al. USPN 5,995,639 (hereinafter "Kado") and further in view of *Face Recognition using temporal image sequence* (IEICE Transactions PRMU 97-50).

Claim 8 discloses, "an apparatus according to claim 3, wherein said normalization means captures object images sensed by said plurality of image sensing means at predetermined time intervals, computes feature patterns of the object images of identical times, and arranges feature patterns of different times in turn to integrate them as a time-series feature pattern."

As discussed above the combination of Tal and Kado disclose all of the limitations of claim 3. The combination of Tal and Kado does not explicitly disclose capturing the object images at predetermined time intervals, and arranging feature

Page 12

Application/Control Number: 09/808,939

Art Unit: 2625

patterns of different times to integrate them as a time-series feature pattern. Face

Recognition using temporal image sequence discloses capturing multiple image over a
time interval and forming a subspace pattern in order to reflect different facial
expressions and directions (as disclosed at least in the abstract).

Tal, Kado, and Face recognition using temporal image sequence are all analogous art because they are all from the same field of endeavor of identifying a person using image processing.

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Tal, Kado, and the teachings of *Face recognition using temporal image sequence* in order to obtain an identification system that accounts for the different facial expressions of a person. The motivation for doing so would be to account for the physical changes in a persons face depending on their mood or position. Therefore it would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Tal, Kado, and the teachings of *Face recognition using temporal image sequence* to obtain the invention as specified in claim 8.

Claim 23 is similar to claim 8 thus the same argument used for claim 8 is equally applicable to claim 23.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 12, 14-15, 27, and 29-30 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2625

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John B Strege whose telephone number is (703) 305-8679. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday between the hours of 9-5..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bhavesh Mehta can be reached on (703) 308-5246. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**

BHAVESH M. MEHTA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600 Page 13